# Mansoura Engineering Journal

Volume 20 | Issue 1

Article 7

3-1-2020

# Transient Stability Analysis Based on the Post-Disturbance Two-Machine Equivalent.

Attia Attia

Assistant Professor of Department of Electrical Power & Machines, Faculty of Engineering, El-Mansoura University, Mansoura, Egypt.

Follow this and additional works at: https://mej.researchcommons.org/home

## **Recommended Citation**

Attia, Attia (2020) "Transient Stability Analysis Based on the Post-Disturbance Two-Machine Equivalent.," *Mansoura Engineering Journal*: Vol. 20 : Iss. 1, Article 7. Available at: https://doi.org/10.21608/bfemu.2021.160178

This Original Study is brought to you for free and open access by Mansoura Engineering Journal. It has been accepted for inclusion in Mansoura Engineering Journal by an authorized editor of Mansoura Engineering Journal. For more information, please contact mej@mans.edu.eg.

Mansoura Engineering Journal (MEJ), Vol. 20, No. 1, March 1995.

#### TRANSIENT STABILITY ANALYSIS BASED ON THE POST-DISTURBANCE TWO-MACHINE EQUIVALENT

## تحليل الإتزان العابر على أساس تكوين مكافئ الآلتين بـهد إزالة القصر

#### Attia A.A Dept of Elect. Power & Machines.Faculty of Engineering Mansoura University.Egypt

ملخص

يقترح البحث طريقة لتحليل الإتزان العابر على اساس تحويل النظام الكهربي متعدد الآلات إلى مكافئ الآلتين عند إزالة القصر . المولدان المكافنان يمثلان مجموعة المولدات الحرجة ومجموعة باتى المولدات في النظام. يتم تحديد المولدات الحرجة على أساس طاقة الحركة والعجلة لحظة إزالة القصر .

على أساس الطريقة المقترحة ، استخدمت طاقة الحركة النسبية للمولدين المكافنين في الكشف عن إتزان أو عدم إتزان النظام المكافئ (وبالتالي النظام الأصلي) وكذلك إيجاد الزمن الحرج للقصر .

تم تطبيق الطريقة المقترحة على مثاليين: الأول نظام يحتوى على ٣–مولدات ٩ قضبان للتحقق من البرنامج والمثال الثانى نظام يحتوى على ٤–مولدات ٩٩-قضيب ويمثل شبكة وسط الدلتا (٢٢٠ ك.ف) بمصر، ثم مقارنة النتانج مع متبلاتها الناتجة عن حل معادلات النظام الأصلى بالطريقة العددية . وتعتبر الطريقة المقترحة بسيطة فى معادلاتها وتعبر إلى حد كبير عن النظام الأصلى ويمكن إستخدامها فى تطبيقات On-line.

#### Abstract

This paper presents a method of power system transient stability analysis, based on two-machine equivalent. The method first computes the actual system trajectory using time domain simulation of fault-on system, then at clearing time, evaluates the kinetic energy and acceleration of each machine in order to identify the severely disturbed machine (or machines). Each of the severely disturbed machines and all remaining machines are grouped together in one equivalent machine, forming the two-machine equivalent.

Based on the relative kinetic energy of the two-machine equivalent, a method for detecting the system stability or instability and computing the cretical clearing time is developed.

The proposed method is applied successfully to a 2-machine 9-bus power system and a 4-machine 19-bus power system . Which represents the 220 KV Mid-Delta region network of Sympt. The simulation results show that the method can provide reliable and quick information about the transient stability analysis of a rower system and it may become efficitive for on-line applications.

#### 1. INTRODUCTION

Two of the main alms of the transient stability unalyses (TCA) are to detect system stability or instability and to compute the critical clearing time (CCD) for a given fault conditions. The are

#### E.58 Attia A.A.

major topics in power system analysis, planning and operation. Three methods: the numerical integration method, the extended equal area criterion (EEAC) method and the transient energy function (TEF) method are widely used for detection of system instability and determination of CCT.

Numerical integration method has unlimited modeling capability and produces time responses of all quantities, some of which may be needed for detailed examination of the stability phenomenon or for simulating special protection schemes. However, it has two shortcomings. Firstly, it is inherently slow due to the integration process involved in solving the differential equations, especially for a large power system. Secondly, it only yields a yes-or-no type answer on the stability problem, without indication on the degree of stability. The trial-and-error approach, for deriving stability limits, requires much CPU time, hence not suitable for on-line applications [1,2].

The EEAC method is based on the well-known equal area criterion [3,4], which clusters a multimachine into two subsystems :one containing the critical machine, and the other consisting of the remaining machines. These two subsystems are then transformed to a one-machine to infinite-bus (OMIB) system for which the equal area criterion is used for a direct solution. A significant advantage of EEAC method is the algebraic expressions it provides for the calculation of CCT and transient stability margin (TSMD. This makes the conventional TSA particularly easy, and gives the possibilities for on-line TSA. However, the equivalent OMIB system does not always represent the dynamics of the original system accurately, except for the case where both the critical machine group and the remaining machine group are coherent.

The transient energy function (TEF) method is investigated in the context of on-line TSA (5,6). It is based on determining whether the TEF value V at clearing time is less than a threshold value Vcr, the TEF at the controlling unstable equilibrium point (UEP) (7,8,9). However, the controlling UEP has to be computed through iterative procedures and faces serious convergence problems in calculation. Furthermore the TEF method has some modelling limitations and for a large power system the computation time is considerable [10].

This paper begins with decomposition of a multimachine system into two groups, based on the machine states (kinetic energy and acceleration) at fault clearing. The group of severely disturbed machines are aggregated into a single equivalent machine and the rest of the machines in the system are similarly combined in a group and replaced by another equivalent machine. The severely disturbed machines are considered to be that having the highest values of kinetic energy and acceleration.

It is shown by the developed method that the minimum value of relative kinetic energy of the two-machine equivalent, which is easy to be determined, can be used for detection of system stability or • instability and computation of an accurate CCT.

The proposed method is evaluated in a 3-machine 9-bus system \_ and 4-machine 19-bus system, which represents 220 KV Mid-Delta network of Egypt, to detect system stability or instability and to

w

calculate the critical clearing time for different fault locations. Results obtaind, using the proposed method and time domain method for the original systems are compared.

#### ENERGY ANALYSIS OF A ONE-MACHINE POWER SYSTEM 2.

The objective of this Section is to review the kinetic energy (Vmr) and potential energy (Vmr) of a one-machine power system. An extension of this idea, for a multimachine system is described in Section 3. Consider a lossless one-machine system as shown in Fig.1. Assume that a 3-phase fault (see Fig.1) appears in the system at t=0and it is cleared by opening one of the lines. The time simulation of system angle (6), Vke and Vpm are shown in Fig.2. Two cases are illustrated in Fig.2. They are as follows: Case 1: clearing time (tc) slightly less than CCT.

Case 2: to is such that the system barely become unstable.

In Fig.2, during the fault, the potential energy of the generator reduces drastically (almost to zero) but the kinetic energy of the generator increases rapidly. Thus, the generator accelerates and its angle  $\delta$  increases. When the fault is cleared at time tc, the potential energy of the generator increases, due to absorbation of kinetic energy in the system . The system is cosidered to be stable if the maximum potential energy is greater than the kinetic energy gained during the fault period.

If the fault is sufficiently large to make the machine critically unstable, its potential energy goes through a maximum before instability occurs. This maximum value of the potential energy along the post-fault trajectory, which is independent of the duration of the fault and the mode of instability is the critical value of total energy [11]. Therefore, at  $(V_{PE})_{max}$ , the system is stable if  $V_{KE=0}$ , and unstable if  $V_{KE>0}$ , as shown in Fig.2.

#### MATHEMATICAL MODEL з.

#### 3.1 Multimachine System Equations

Given an N-machine system represented by classical model and constant impedance loads, the dynamics of ith machine can be described by the following two 1st-order differential equations [9]:

$$\boldsymbol{\delta}_{i} = \boldsymbol{\omega}_{i} \tag{1}$$

$$M_{i}\omega_{i} = Pm_{i} - Pe_{i} = f_{i}(\delta)$$
 (2)

where

$$P_{\theta_i} = E_i^2 Y_{i_i} \cos \theta_{i_i} + \sum_{\substack{j=1\\i\neq j}}^N E_i E_j Y_{i_j} \cos(\delta_i - \delta_j - \theta_{i_j})$$
(3)

and

1

= rotor angle and speed of machine i in the centre δ, .ω, of inertia (COI) frame Μ, = inertia constant of machine i

٤

E. 60 Attia A.A. Pm, = mechanical input of machine i E = internal voltage magnitude of machine i Y., ∠@ = admittance matrix reduced at the internal generator nodes

### 3.2 The Two-Machine Equivalent

Suppose during a disturbance the group A consists of those machines, which are severely disturbed and the group B consists of the rest of the power system. After a disturbance is cleared the severely disturbed machines, which belong to group A. being to separate from the remaining machines. The rest of the machines in the system are less disturbed and the variations of their rotor angles during the transient period are not significant compared with the severely disturbed machines [12]. For a given disturbance, the procedure of the equivalent of the power system consists of

(a) dividing the system machines, into two groups A and B. (b) replacing the two sets by two equivalent machines (a and b)

The inertial centers for the group A and group B are defined by

$$\delta \alpha = \sum_{i \in A} \delta_{i} M_{i} \times \sum_{i \in A} M_{i} , \qquad \delta b = \sum_{j \in B} \delta_{j} M_{j} \times \sum_{j \in B} M_{j} \qquad (4)$$

The two swing equations of the equivelent critical machine a and equivelent machine b are

$$M_{\alpha} \dot{\omega}_{\alpha} = \sum_{i \in \mathbf{A}} (P_{m_{i}} - P_{\bullet_{i}})$$

$$(5)$$

$$M_{b} \dot{\omega}_{b} = \sum_{j} (P_{m_{j}} - P_{\bullet_{j}})$$

$$(6)$$

where

$$Ma = \sum_{i \in A} M_{i}, \quad Mb = \sum_{j} M_{j}$$

$$P_{\theta_{i}} = E_{i}^{2} Y_{i} \cos \theta_{i} + \sum_{k \in A} E_{k} E_{k} Y_{ik} \cos(\phi_{ik} - \theta_{ik}) +$$

$$\frac{k \leq A}{k \leq k}$$

$$Ma = \sum_{j} M_{i} , \quad Mb = \sum_{j} M_{j}$$

$$(7)$$

+ 
$$\sum E_i E_j Y_{ij} \cos(\phi_{ij} - \theta_{ij})$$
 ViGA (8)

$$P_{\phi_{j}} = E_{j}^{2} Y_{jj} \cos \theta_{jj} + \sum_{i} E_{j} E_{q} Y_{jq} \cos(\phi_{jq} - \theta_{jq}) +$$

$$\int_{\mathbb{Z}_{q}}^{2\mathbb{Z}_{q}} \int_{\mathbb{Z}_{q}}^{2\mathbb{Z}_{q}} \int_{\mathbb{$$

and

$$\phi_i = \delta_i - \delta_a \quad \forall i \in A$$
,  $\phi_j = \delta_j - \delta_b \quad \forall j \in B$  (10)

From eqn(5) and eqn(6), the swing equation of the two-machine

Mansoura Engineering Journal (MEJ), Vol. 20, No. 1. March 1995. E. 61 equivalent is

$$Maq (\omega_{s} - \omega_{b}) = Pmaq - Pmaq sin(\delta - \alpha)$$
(11)

where

.

-

.

$$M_{eq} = M_a M_b / M_T, \qquad M_T = M_a + M_b \qquad (12)$$

$$P_{meq} = \frac{i}{MT} \langle M_{b} \sum_{i \in A} (P_{m_{i}} - E_{i}^{2} Y_{i} \cos \theta_{i} \rangle - M_{a} \sum_{j \in B} (P_{m_{j}} - E_{j}^{2} Y_{jj} \cos \theta_{jj})$$
$$- M_{b} \sum_{i \in A} \sum_{j \in B} E_{i} E_{k} Y_{ik} \cos(\phi_{ik} - \theta_{ik})$$
$$+ M_{a} \sum_{j \in B} \sum_{j \neq q} E_{j} E_{q} Y_{jq} \cos(\phi_{jq} - \theta_{jq}) \rangle$$
(13)

where

 $Peeq = (C^2 + D^2)^{1/2}$ 

$$C = \frac{M_b - M_a}{M_a + M_b} \sum_{i \in A} \sum_{j \in B} E_i E_j Y_{ij} \cos \theta_{ij} \sin \phi_{ij}$$
  
+ 
$$\sum_{i \in A} \sum_{j \in B} E_i E_j Y_{ij} \sin \theta_{ij} \cos \phi_{ij}$$
 (14)

$$D = \frac{M_b - M_a}{M_a + M_b} \sum_{i \in A} \sum_{j \in B} E_i E_j Y_{ij} \cos \theta_{ij} \cos \phi_{ij}$$
  
-  $\sum_{i \in A} \sum_{j \in B} E_i E_j Y_{ij} \sin \theta_{ij} \sin \phi_{ij}$  (15)

$$a = \tan^{-1}(C/D)$$

(16)

The rotor angles in the above equations are defined with respect to centre of inertia (COI) of the corresponding group (eqn(10)). In Reference [3], the rotor angles of the individual machines are supposed to be represented by the corresponding partial centre of angles (PCOA), i.e.,  $\delta_i = \delta_a$ , VieA and  $\delta_j = \delta_b$ , VieB.

#### 3.3 SELECTION OF SEVERELY DISTURBED MACHINES

The selection of severely disturbed machines to a large extent depends on how the disturbance affects the system [1,8]. Hence, the informations available at the clearing time are used in machine grouping procedure as follows

(a) classify the machines in a decreasing order of their kinetic energy at tc, i.e, based on the quantities  $\frac{1}{2}$  Mi  $(\omega_i)^2$  where  $\omega_i(i=1,2,\ldots,N)$  is the speed with respect to the COI at tc. These machines are grouped in set A<sub>1</sub>.

(b) classify the machines in a decreasing order of their acceleration at tc, i.e, based on the quantities  $\gamma i=fi(\delta) / Mi$  with respect to COI. These machines are grouped in set A2.

(c) select set A as  $A_1 \cup A_2$  and then set B consists of the remaining machines.

The above procedure is very efficient, since the machine on the top

#### E. 62 Attia A. A.

of the set A will be most affected and the one at the bottom of the list. least affected. Furthermore, both  $\omega_i$  and  $\gamma_i$  (i=1,2,...,N) are available in the swing curve simulation of the fault-on system. Result of analysis (Section 6D, shows that choice a few machines in set A results in a satisfactory equivalent system.

#### 4. TRANSIENT STABILITY ANALYSIS

### 4.1 System Stability or Instability Detection

The total energy of the two-machine equivalent at any instant can be represented as follows

V = VKE + VPE

(17)

(21)

The VKE is the kinetic energy, which contributes to the system separation can be defined as

$$V_{KE}(t) = 1/2 M_{eq} (\omega)^2$$
(18)

where

Since the total energy V is constant, it is clear from eqn(17) that  $\partial V \kappa z / \partial t = -\partial V p z / \partial t$ . And its critical value (Vcz) equals to (Vpz)max of the critically stable machine. Thus, the system under consideration is

stable if	VSVCR	(20)	
unsatble if	V> Vcr	(20)	

When the potential energy reaches its maximum value,  $\partial VPE / \partial t$  becomes zero. At this point, VKE reaches its minimum. Moreover, all of the injected kinetic energy must be converted into potential energy. Thus, if the minimum value of VKE is defined as

VKE# = min VKECtu)

where to is the time of maximum potential energy. Then VKE\* should equal zero for all tc $\leq$ CCT and should be positive value for tc>CCT. Thus, the system stability or instability can be detected as

stable if	VKENSO	( 22	(22)
unstable if	Vice #> 0		'

4.2 Computation of Critical Clearing Time

The minimum value of kinetic energy (VKE\*) is a function of the two-machine equivalent parameters and states  $(\delta, \omega)$ . Using the time-domain simulations, the VKE\* is computed. The time at which VKE\* changes from zero (or negative value) to positive value is the "critical clearing time. The algorithm used for computation of theoritical clearing time is given below.

#### 5. PROGRAM ALGORITHM

The algorithm used for detecting the transient stability and

computing the critical clearing time based on the two-machine equivalent may be presented as follows:

Step 1: using the prefault load flow data, compute the initial state

Step 2: produce the reduced internal Y-matrix for both faulted and post-fault systems.

Step 3: using the transient stability program. calculate the kinetic energy and acceleration for each machine at fault clearing. Identify the machine grouping: group A consists of critical machines and group B consists of the all remaining machines.

Step 4: for the post-fault system, calculate the parameters of the two-machine equivalent.

Step 5: continue the simulation of the two-machine equivalent system trajectory. At each time step, determine the kinetic energy (Vks). Detect the Vks\*, which can be achieved as follows:

Compute the VKE at each time step, i.e., VKE(t- $\Delta$ t), VKE(t- $\Delta$ t), and VKE(t). A local VKE point occurs at time t- $\Delta$ t when VKE(t- $\Delta$ t) is less than VKE(t- $\Delta$ t) and VKE(t).

Step 6: if  $V_{KE} \approx 0$ , the system is stable. The system is unstable if  $V_{KE} \approx 0$ .

Step 7: to compute the CCT, advance time by one integration step and go back to step 4 and continue. Since the location and type of fault does not change, a new machine grouping is not required. CCT lies between t1(where Vkm=0) and t2(where Vkm=0)

If the step is small enough, t1 may be taken as the CCT. If the step is large, however, an approximate region is found. Reduce step size and repeat Steps 4-7 for a more precise determination of the CCT.

#### 8. RESULTS

-

4

.

The proposed method for detecting the transient stability and computing the critical clearing time is tested on two power systems. The 3-machine 9-bus system and 4-machine 19-bus system representing the high voltage network of Mid-Delta region of Egypt. Results are computed for the equivelent system and compared with the values obtained for the original system by time simulations of system dynamic equations. The integration method used for solving system differential equations is the Runge-Kutta fourth-order method.

8.1. 3-Machine 9-Bus System

The single-line diagram of the system is shown in Flg.3, which is obtained from [13]. A three-phase fault is applied at bus #7 near machine #2, cleared by tripping one of the two lines between buses #7 and #8.

E. 64 Attia A. A.

Figure 4 shows the kinetic energy and acceleration of each machine for to=0.14s. Examining Fig.4, the machines can be classified in a decreasing order of kinetic energies at to (set A1) as G2, G3 and G4. Classification of the machines in a decreasing order of their accelerations at to (set A2) is G2, G3, and G4. Therefore, the critical machine is G2 and the remaining machines are G4 and G3.

The simulation results for two-machine equivelent system are shown in Fig.5 and Fig.6. The time response of angle difference ( $\delta$ ab)and kinetic energy for tc=0.14s is shown in Fig.5. The system is stable in this case and  $V\kappa\kappa \approx 0$ .

Figure 6 shows the phase portrait ( $\delta_{ab}$ -weed) and the time response of machine rotor angle, speed, kinetic energy, equivalent parameters of mechanical power and electrical power for clearing time of 0.18s (curve a) and 0.19s (curve b). For tc=0.18s, VKE\*=0 and the system is critically stable. The system is unstable for tc=0.19s and VKE\*>0. Therefore, the critical clearing time, which corresponds to the above fault location is 0.18s. This value coincide with the value obtained from the time simulation of the original system.

#### 6.2. 4-Machine 19-Bus Typical System

This system represents the reduced power network of Mid-Delta region of the unified power system of Egypt. The system single line diagram is shown in Fig.7. Its data and initial operating conditions are given in [14]. The initial operating conditions are calculated based on the recorded data on 15/6/1993 by Egypt load Dispatch Center [15]. Two cases are studied. They are as follows:

#### Case 1:

A 3-phase fault of tc=0.28s at bus # 23 is cleared by opening one of the two parallel lines between bus # 22 and bus # 23. Fig.8 shows the kinetic energy and acceleration of each machine for faulted system. At clearing time, machine Gi has the largest values of kinetic energy and acceleration. Thus, Gi represents the severely disturbed group (gruop A) and all remaining machines (Gz, Ge and G4) are grouped in group B. This grouping coincides with the results obtained in Fig.9. In Fig.9, the time response of rotor angles of Gz, Gz and G4 are coherent.

Figure 10 shows the kinetic energy obtained for the two-machine equivalent. It is found that  $V_{KE} \approx 0$  and the system is stable. If the clearing time is increased (tc=0.27s) the system is unstable and Ga losses its synchronism and the corresponding  $V_{KE} \gg 0$ , as shown in Fig.10. For computing the CCT corresponding to the above fault location,  $V_{KE} \approx$  is computed according to the above algorithm and illustrated in Fig.11. The CCT is 0.26s at  $V_{KE} \approx 0$ .

#### Case 2:

A 3-phase fault of tc=0.34s at bus # 13 is cleared by opening one of the two parallel lines between bus # 13 and bus # 14. Based on kinetic energies and accelerations of system machines at clearing time, which are shown in Fig. 12, the machines are grouped as: group Mansoura Engineering Journal (MEJ), Vol. 20, No. 1, March 1995. E. 65

A contains machines G2, which represents the severely disturbed machine and group B contains the remaining machines G4, G3 and G4.

Figures 13 and 14 show the results obtained for the original system and the two-machine equivalent respectively when the system is critically stable and critically unstable. The comparison shows that the behaviours of the two systems from point of view of stability or instability and CCT value are similar.

### 7. CONCLUSIONS

A method to analyze the transient stability of multimachine power system is developed. The development of the analytical method is based on the two-machine equivalent, in which one machine is the equivalent of the severely disturbed machine (or machines) and the other one is the equivalent of all remaining machines in the original system. It is found that, for a particular disturbance, the combination of the machine's kinetic energy and acceleration, which are available at clearing time can be used effectively in selection of the severely disturbed machines.

It is shown by the developed method that the minimum value of relative kinetic energy of the two-machine equivalent, which is easy to be determined, can be used for detecting stability or instability of the power system. Moreover, it is used for determining an accurate critical clearing time.

Results of transient stability detection and assessment, using the proposed method are computed and compared with the values obtained by time-domain simulations of the original systems, which are 3-machine 9-bus power system and 4-machine 19-bus power system representing practical network.

Results demonstrate that the developed analytical method can provide reliable and quick information about the transient stability analysis of a power system and it may become effective for on-line applications.

#### 8. REFERENCES

- [1] Maria G.A., Tang C., kim J., "Hybrid transient stability analysis", IEEE Trans. on Power Systems. Vol. 5, No. 2, May 1990, p. 384-393.
- [2] Fang Da-zhong, Chung T.S. David A.K. "Fast transient stability estimation using a noval dynamic equivalent reduction technique", IEEE Trans. on Power Systems, Vol.9, No.2, May 1994, p. 995-1001.
- [3] Xue Y., Van Cutsem Th., Ribbens-Bavella M., "Extended equal area criterion justifications, generalizations, applications", IEEE Trans. on Power Systems, Vol. 4, No. 1, February 1989, p. 44-52.
- [4] Xue Y., Wehenkel L., Euxible E., Heilbronn B., "Extended equal area criterion revisited". IFEE Trans. on Power Systems, Vol.7, No.3, August 1992, pp.1012-1022.
- [5] Fouad A.A., Vitaal V., Kyoo T., "Critical energy for direct

E.68 Attia A.A.

transient stability assessment of a multi-machine power system" IEEE Trans. Power Apparatus and System, Vol.PAS- 103,No.8, August 1984, p.2199-2208.

- [8] Farrokh A., Mark G., Joseph N., Kwok L., "Evaluation of the transient energy function method for on-line dynamic security analysis", IEEE Trans. on Power Systems. Vol. 8, No. 2, May 1993, p. 497-507.
  - [7] Fouad A.A., Stanon S.E., "Transient stability of a multimachine power system. Part 1: Investigation of system trajectory", IEEE Trans. Power Apparatus and System, Vol. PAS-100, No.7, July 1981, p. 3408-3418.
  - [8] Vittal V., Rajagopal S., et al. "Transient stability analysis of stressed power systems using the energy function method", IEEE Trans. on Power Systems. Vol.3, No.1, February 1988, p.239-244.
  - [9] Fouad A.A., Vijay Vittai, "Power system response to a large disturbance: energy associated with system separation", IEEE Trans. on Power Apperatus and Systems, Vol. PAS-102, No. 11, November 1983, pp. 3534~3540.
  - [10] Haque M.H."Equal-area criterion: an extension for multimachine power systems", IEE Proc., Gener. Trans. Distrib, Vol.141, No. 3, May 1994, p.191-197.
  - (11) Found A.A., Kruempel K.C., Vittal V., et al, "Transient stability program output analysis", IEEE Trans. on Power Systems, Vol. PWRS-1, No. 1, February 1988, pp. 2-9.
  - (12) Rahimi A., Schaffer G., "Power system transient stability indexes for on-line analysis of 'worst-case'dynamic \_ contingencies", IEEE Trans. on Power Systems, Vol. PWRS-2, No.3, August 1987, pp. 660-668.
  - [13] Anderson P.M., Fouad A.A.: Power System Control and Stability. Ames, Iowa State University Press. 1977.
  - [14] Attia A.A.'"A fast computational algorithm for transient stability assessment of power systems", Mansoura Engineering Journal (MEJ), Vol.19, No.1, March 1994. p.E35-E47.
  - [15] Ministray of Electricity and Egyptian Authority (1991): The Unified Electrical Network, A.R.E., 30-500 KV directory.







Fig.1 One-machine infinite bus system







Fig.8 Response of 6, VKE of equivalent system for tc=0.14s







Fig. 14 Oab, (Vxx) of equivalent system